## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		<b>5</b> ,12,		
	Jose Villegas-Castaneda	Case Number:	08-6209M	
and was re	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. presented by counsel. I conclude by a p ndant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was preponderance of the evidence th	as held on August 4, 2008. Defendant was present ne defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of	the United States or lawfully adı	mitted for permanent residence.	
×	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the Un	ited States illegally.	
×		If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant	contacts in the United States of	r in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.			
×	The defendant has a prior crimin	al history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure	to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evac	de law enforcement contact by fl	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maxim	um ofy	vears imprisonment.	
Th at the time	e Court incorporates by reference the r of the hearing in this matter, except as	material findings of the Pretrial Sessions of the Pretrial Sessions of Law	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	DIRE	efendant will flee. onditions will reasonably assure CCTIONS REGARDING DETEN		
a correction appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent praction ne defendant shall be afforded a reason ed States or on request of an attorney f to the United States Marshal for the pu	cable, from persons awaiting or so nable opportunity for private cons or the Government, the person in	/her designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a courn charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT deliver a co Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of	f this detention order be filed with	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a releat ufficiently in advance of the hearing better the potential third party custodian.	ase to a third party is to be consider the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
D	ATED this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2	2008.		
		The state of the s		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge